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## RANDOMNESS REPORT FOR THE RNG RANDOM

This Revised Report replaces Evaluation Report RN-246-EVP-20-01_B_1 dated 23 June 2020
This Revised Report was issued in order to:

- update the "Range" for Data Set "Binary for DieHard" from 0-2,147,483,6487 to 0-2,147,483,647 in the "Table 2. Game Parameters" on page 7 of this Report.

The intent of this report is to indicate that Gaming Laboratories International, LLC (GLI) has completed its evaluation of the RNG Random random number generator (RNG), version 1.0, provided by Evoplay Entertaiment BV.

## SECTION I - SCOPE OF TESTING

Evoplay Entertaiment BV submitted the required materials to GLI in order to conduct a random number generator analysis on the RNG Random. The scope of this analysis was limited to software verification, source code review, and data analysis. The RNG was tested for its ability to randomly produce outcomes for the Slots, Poker, BlackJack, Baccarat, Roulette and Instant games.

The RNG Random was evaluated against the RNG-specific requirements of the following technical standards:

- UK Remote
- Remote Gambling and Software Technical Standards (June 2017).
- Testing Strategy for Compliance with Remote Gambling and Software Technical Standards November 2018.
- Malta Online
- L.N. 243 of 2018 - GAMING ACT (CAP. 583) Gaming Authorisations Regulations, 2018.
- Directive 2 of 2018 - Player Protection Directive.

The software being certified herein is a cryptographically strong RNG (CSRNG) without background cycling. CSRNGs do not need to implement background cycling to improve their unpredictability and resistance to attack. As a consequence, they meet and exceed the intent of traditional background cycling requirements, but through mechanisms other than background cycling

## RANDOMNESS REPORT FOR THE RNG RANDOM

## SECTION II - SOFTWARE VERIFICATION

Verify+ by Kobetron ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ signatures for the RNG Random are as follows:

| File | Version | Type | Signature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mafia\random.php | 1.0 | Kobe4 | C911 |
|  |  | MD5 | BD04474BDE70B591FAC7D9E23DBFDD8B |
|  |  | SHA-1 | 1F88ADB1DEA6DD61F55ADB26F2DB7BA88E29A564 |
|  |  | Kobe40 | 6C9F3F9F60487153623HP03UP768FA4HUCPUA373 |
|  |  | CDCK | A997 |
| journeytothewest\random.php | 1.0 | Kobe4 | $225 U$ |
|  |  | MD5 | BBD088F58A3B00F3CD7FB95999B85B37 |
|  |  | SHA-1 | CFDFB029724294058C7E31198B90C5AFE51E20E9 |
|  |  | Kobe40 | H254C30H37CF3U4F2P737AUF8F5266CUF98F7CA5 |
|  |  | CDCK | 6EF5 |
| et\random.php | 1.0 | Kobe4 | 83H4 |
|  |  | MD5 | 7148D0A90ED9EDD171CCB36582D46784 |
|  |  | SHA-1 | 1E8DF6E9AD4398D2128878349AC1260E03C10425 |
|  |  | Kobe40 | F9U4F19C9U08067U3780U624A8UP7CH8F381373F |
|  |  | CDCK | 8524 |
| epicgladiators\random.php | 1.0 | Kobe4 | 5359 |
|  |  | MD5 | 89148F80D2CCEFAB0F67F8F55FC78C38 |
|  |  | SHA-1 | 91668E852EF8D8C998162DEBF8887858341E4634 |
|  |  | Kobe40 | 789A40CH9224206C13U7HF3C00889PC5885H7PU0 |
|  |  | CDCK | 626F |
| elvenprincesses\random.php | 1.0 | Kobe4 | U328 |
|  |  | MD5 | EE75E6055F18EEA5AA754811800CD436 |
|  |  | SHA-1 | 67EBA53FA75F9E6AA2757B60CFBD8A6F09E3C18A |
|  |  | Kobe40 | 3C096F335P8UH531U55H196UAACH69F45H90C107 |
|  |  | CDCK | 8A0F |
| charmingqueens $\backslash$ random.php | 1.0 | Kobe4 | 30AF |
|  |  | MD5 | 9AB68244D24108009B646E92D69DAEC2 |
|  |  | SHA-1 | 45C524130C59478A25F4E3E7D051AE6254ABD6D9 |
|  |  | Kobe40 | F4C61P85FAFC694F8FAP35F0HU29448H2FA97PPH |
|  |  | CDCK | 03D3 |

## RANDOMNESS REPORT FOR THE RNG RANDOM

## SECTION II - SOFTWARE VERIFICATION

Verify+ by Kobetron ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ signatures for the RNG Random are as follows (continued):

| File | Version | Type | Signature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| candydreams\random.php | 1.0 | Kobe4 | 8PHH |
|  |  | MD5 | 9657C1C84CACF10DDBFCC197702CC6D8 |
|  |  | SHA-1 | A3EBF0D2E90DC8A95DA692563CE957676FA6D7F0 |
|  |  | Kobe40 | UH6H9CH3C2FP25H3F7H2HPA494906F08ACU66U9C |
|  |  | CDCK | CC31 |
| aceround \random.php | 1.0 | Kobe4 | 03C9 |
|  |  | MD5 | E57ACD904F05F6032D2602E619BAD793 |
|  |  | SHA-1 | EF66DAF55585C9B60FBA0A209917FEEE5BBD4937 |
|  |  | Kobe40 | PH76H3UC54033673P5C9460A2UF86FC3F8AC9H7U |
|  |  | CDCK | 6766 |
| necromancer\random.php | 1.0 | Kobe4 | 7PU7 |
|  |  | MD5 | 9E8618A7782FF1172FC54ECAB3236918 |
|  |  | SHA-1 | FC81FA358FDCFAA870102EBC46B16AC31D7663AA |
|  |  | Kobe40 | 280UC10ACUF86C9PP26PPHC5H111C456H3P55493 |
|  |  | CDCK | BB15 |
| robots \random.php | 1.0 | Kobe4 | PC8C |
|  |  | MD5 | B7E8A9C2120391DA06690FF1DF31C7A5 |
|  |  | SHA-1 | E8F4F1C97F5FC6E2408B9D5010F5B685F716890C |
|  |  | Kobe40 | 102PUCA46527C7CF30920794FF31UP212037HHC4 |
|  |  | CDCK | 734E |
| Atlantis\Random.php | 1.0 | Kobe4 | A36F |
|  |  | MD5 | CDC78E1E737D62031766695E862F3656 |
|  |  | SHA-1 | 27576D46F022C138FCF8DBF2166B8972483069ED |
|  |  | Kobe40 | 3H81UH90862HA60178C634A2U2P8A86U6A7U5U38 |
|  |  | CDCK | 52E2 |
| ClashOfPirates\Random.php | 1.0 | Kobe4 | 56PP |
|  |  | MD5 | 9C37FC1635F1DD65FC06104C82EAE876 |
|  |  | SHA-1 | 2E7064E85C724CD9B6749095B6FC40B1E39FBFB9 |
|  |  | Kobe40 | 47963709F857HHF8324FH7F067863FP121741746 |
|  |  | CDCK | D636 |

## RANDOMNESS REPORT FOR THE RNG RANDOM

## SECTION II - SOFTWARE VERIFICATION

Verify+ by Kobetron ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ signatures for the RNG Random are as follows (continued):

| File | Version | Type | Signature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EgyptGods\Random.php | 1.0 | Kobe4 | 2C41 |
|  |  | MD5 | 85D75807BB9BEC95C1AC3FBCD184360E |
|  |  | SHA-1 | A6C2B275BDDBB16280D02846E5CB88DC0FEC9AB3 |
|  |  | Kobe40 | 4HUH71F5086U470U4851UUPC5A3UP2A8HUPA2C20 |
|  |  | CDCK | OE71 |
| EmperorsTomb\Random.php | 1.0 | Kobe4 | 9647 |
|  |  | MD5 | FB8E7D952143C6A5EDFF03D0BD76E041 |
|  |  | SHA-1 | 9B0EF0B6CF9110F7030A7E8B4BB33500541EF56E |
|  |  | Kobe40 | H1U1230184AF4U2C1U6UU53A6966CP4CPC99968C |
|  |  | CDCK | FD7B |
| IndianasQuest\Random.php | 1.0 | Kobe4 | H061 |
|  |  | MD5 | 7DB955B40C8712E28B11C3D4A41B639D |
|  |  | SHA-1 | 7BE3888CA0F147DC29FE50A7A50FB3BB281989C3 |
|  |  | Kobe40 | 53PA225AA587P3HA08930A55C261CA9201H40U89 |
|  |  | CDCK | 2D02 |
| LegendOfRa\Random.php | 1.0 | Kobe4 | 541 U |
|  |  | MD5 | DOD0CD824A4676731315E64188393A90 |
|  |  | SHA-1 | BF210C97175ABA15E414A6B7E866165442E2C77A |
|  |  | Kobe40 | 1CF94C3PU5C90HCPA628A8HA452CFCC7289HF622 |
|  |  | CDCK | D981 |
| RobinHood $\backslash$ Random.php | 1.0 | Kobe4 | 5510 |
|  |  | MD5 | 7110B424010D2487AD4FFC86EA800E20 |
|  |  | SHA-1 | 76901E8ED1073C43BE529659F6ED2BB6EE4E34F3 |
|  |  | Kobe40 | 1C06PP332U7238HHH39U28PF1H61C6111F65UAU9 |
|  |  | CDCK | 3085 |
| TalismansOfFortune\Random.php | 1.0 | Kobe4 | 79AP |
|  |  | MD5 | C9FC89E6DA02863FB3566740F14A7616 |
|  |  | SHA-1 | D49F77B3BEC4030392535E977ADBE27A4598EF5F |
|  |  | Kobe40 | CUPH2HP63822U71F79CC2F82U8U0FA2U2153PA81 |
|  |  | CDCK | EDEF |

## RANDOMNESS REPORT FOR THE RNG RANDOM

## SECTION II - SOFTWARE VERIFICATION

Verify+ by Kobetron ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ signatures for the RNG Random are as follows (continued):

| File | Version | Type | Signature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TheGreatConflict \Random.php | 1.0 | Kobe4 | $07 \cup 8$ |
|  |  | MD5 | 65413B485E44F225C1D1BD39EF4B98E4 |
|  |  | SHA-1 | 2A99F2225DC9AC6FFC8A7F255F5BFAFDBEDB635F |
|  |  | Kobe40 | 541PA2PH227867C4ACCAH44115AHAU532HFU65CC |
|  |  | CDCK | 3AF8 |
| VegasNights \Random.php | 1.0 | Kobe4 | $6 F 73$ |
|  |  | MD5 | AA1B7594B350AA393CDA7B42EE34E606 |
|  |  | SHA-1 | FD736ABC59FD47A6870981B53BA39A78CB238A47 |
|  |  | Kobe40 | 8UU754958UH6243846P25UP7UF18866H5F8820PF |
|  |  | CDCK | 0735 |
| common\Random.php | 1.0 | Kobe4 | U39H |
|  |  | MD5 | 9293582C699191C4A4FAF1DA1584C169 |
|  |  | SHA-1 | 294F0710CACD8D048DE6C3855A5DE0455F5C313D |
|  |  | Kobe40 | 6686557AU1A7520APA73557U880361F764699C2U |
|  |  | CDCK | 9007 |

Table 1. Digital Signatures

## SECTION III - SOURCE CODE REVIEW

Evoplay Entertaiment BV submitted appropriate documentation and FULL source code which pertains to the generation of random numbers. GLI reviewed the source code provided by tracing the path of the RNG application from the initiation of the draw to the selected output of random numbers. GLI inspected the source code, where practicable, in an attempt to find any undisclosed switches or parameters having a possible influence on randomness and fair play. GLI assessed the ability of the RNG to produce all numbers within the desired range.

## RANDOMNESS REPORT FOR THE RNG RANDOM

## SECTION IV - DATA ANALYSIS

The game configuration and parameters for the data obtained and tested are listed in Table 2. GLI performed a data format check on each data set listed in order to confirm that the game parameters were correctly represented in the data analyzed.

GLI conducted a statistical analysis of sufficient scope to test the RNG in the case of Slot games for selecting as many as 15 winners from a pool size as large as 2,001 as described in Table 2. The selection of test cases took into account broad coverage of range sizes and selections.
A set of numbers is said to be drawn with replacement if a number can be selected multiple times within the same draw. A set of numbers is said to be drawn without replacement if a number can only be selected once within the same draw.

| Data Set | Range | Positions | Replacement | Draws |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slots | Up to and including 0-2,000 | 1 to 15 | Yes |  |
| Poker | $0-51$ | 52 | No |  |
| BlackJack | $0-311$ | 312 | No |  |
| Baccarat | $0-415$ | 416 | No | $10,000,000$ |
| Roulette | $0-37$ | $1-100$ | 100 | N/A |
| Instant | $0-2,147,483,647$ | 1 | Yes | $50,000,000$ |
| Binary for DieHard |  |  | N/A |  |

Table 2. Game Parameters
(1) Data sets of different ranges and draw sizes were collected and analyzed to cover the scope of this general certification.

For a summary of the statistical tests applied to each data set, see Appendix A. For a description of the overall test methodology and a description of each test used, see Appendix B.

Overall, the RNG passed the battery of tests for each configuration at the $95 \%, 98 \%$ and $99 \%$ confidence levels.

## SECTION V - SUMMARY

## Overall Evaluation of the Random Number Generator

GLI's conclusion based upon the tests applied to the RNG Random data is that this random number generator has exhibited random behavior and is suitable for the applications as described herein. If a game utilizes a different range or a different number of selections from the included ranges, the RNG should be resubmitted to test that set of parameters.

## APPENDIX A: Statistical Test Summary



Table A.1. Tests Applied
(1) Data sets of different ranges and draw sizes were collected and analyzed to cover the scope of this general certification.

## APPENDIX B: Test Descriptions

B. 1 Definitions. The following terms apply to the below test descriptions. Randomness Device or Random Number Generator (RNG) output may be collected multiple numbers at a time. Each set of numbers is called a draw. Each individual number has a particular order within the draw. This is referred to as the number position.
B. 2 Distribution Comparisons. Many of the tests compare an observed numerical distribution with an expected distribution. Unless otherwise specified, this is done by means of a statistical chi-square goodness-of-fit test. The value chi-square is computed in the standard way. If $k$ is a possible value, $o_{k}$ is the observed count of that value, and $e_{k}$ is the expected count:

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum_{k} \frac{\left(o_{k}-e_{k}\right)^{2}}{e_{k}}
$$

In the case where expected counts are too small for accurate use of the above formula, values are 'binned' together to ensure an appropriate minimum expected count. The resultant value for chi-square is compared against the distribution for the appropriate number of degrees of freedom. Unusually high (distribution mismatch) or unusually low (insufficient randomness) chi-square values can be causes for data failure.
B. 3 Meta-testing. Evaluation of groups of $p$-values may include a meta-test for extremity of high or low $p$-values, a meta-test for frequency of high or low $p$-values, and a meta-test for uniformity of $p$-values, as appropriate.
B. 4 Confidence Level. The statistical tests conducted by GLI are done at a particular confidence level. Common confidence levels used include $95 \%$, $98 \%$, and $99 \%$, depending on jurisdictional requirements, and intended use of the RNG. High confidence level testing has low risk of mistakenly failing a good RNG, but higher risk of passing a bad RNG. Lower confidence level testing has increased power of detecting bad RNGs, while also increasing the risk of false failures of good RNGs. Specifically, the confidence level represents the probability that an ideal source of randomness would pass the testing. If an RNG passes statistical tests at a given confidence level, passage at all higher confidence levels is implied.
B. 5 Tests. Some tests are only applicable to certain types of data. Some tests may be applied only to a portion of the data. Some tests may require that the data be parsed, binned, or otherwise transformed, as necessitated by data format.

## APPENDIX B: Test Descriptions

## Adjacency Blocks

For each draw, the data is first sorted. Then the amount of contiguous blocks of numbers is counted. These statistics are then compared against the expected. For example, if a draw consists of the numbers

$$
1,5,4,2,6,9
$$

the data would be sorted and separated into blocks. The resulting statistic would be 3 .

## Adjacency High-Low:

For each draw, the number of local extrema ('highs' and 'lows') in the data is recorded and compared with the expected distribution. These are also referred to as 'turning points'. For example, if a draw consists of the numbers

$$
1,3,5,7,2,9
$$

there would be one local maximum (7) and one local minimum (2). The resulting statistic would be 2.

## Adjacency Max-Min:

For each draw, the difference between the maximum and minimum values is calculated and recorded. This is compared with the expected theoretical distribution. For example, if a draw consists of the numbers

## $2,3,6,7,4$

the resulting statistic would be 5 , the difference between the maximum value ( 7 ) and the minimum value (2).

## Count of Counts:

The Count of Counts test first counts the occurrences of each value in each position of the data. These counts are then tallied and compared with the expected distribution of counts for the draw size and range of values.

## Coupon Collector's:

The Coupon Collector's Test is applied positionally. The data is parsed until all possible values have been observed, then the number of values checked is recorded and the count is restarted. This is compared with the expected distribution. For example, if the set of all possible values is $\{0,1,2\}$ and the first position of each draw is

## $1,0,1,0,2,0,1,2, .$.

then all values are observed in the first position by the fifth draw. All values are then observed within the next 3 draws, so the first two statistics for the first position would be 5 and 3 .

## DieHard:

The DieHard Battery of Tests is a standard assessment of the randomness in raw outcomes generated from an RNG. The collection, designed by George Marsaglia, tests for a variety of patterns in the individual binary bits of RNG output. GLI uses a custom implementation to conduct DieHard testing.

## APPENDIX B: Test Descriptions

## Duplicates:

The Duplicates Test counts the number of times a draw is exactly duplicated in the data. In the case that a particular draw is repeated more than twice, every possible way to generate a duplicate is counted. This is compared against the theoretical distribution to verify that the number of duplicate draws falls within expected bounds. For example, consider the dataset consisting of the following draws of two numbers each.
a) 1, 3
b) 4,1
c) 1,3
d) 1,3
e) 4,1
f) 3,1

The duplicate pairs are $(a, c),(a, d),(c, d)$, and $(b, e)$, for a total of 4 duplicates. $(f)$ is not counted as a duplicate since the draw must match in order as well as values.

## Interplay Correlation:

The Interplay Correlation Test measures statistical correlation between different positions of the same draw. For each pair of positions, statistical correlation is calculated as in the Serial Correlation Test. In the case of without replacement data, an adjustment is made to account for the expected resulting negative correlation.

## Overlaps:

The Overlaps Test compares consecutive draws for overlapping values. The number of overlapping values is recorded for each pair of draws. This observed distribution of overlaps is then compared against the expected distribution. For example, if the following draws are observed consecutively,
a) $1,4,5,6$
b) $4,1,7,6$
the number of overlaps would be 3 , representing the values 1,4 , and 6 .

## Permutation:

The Permutation Test is a test applicable to data that represents a reordering of numbers. Each draw can be considered as a permutation of the original ordering. Every permutation can be decomposed into disjoint cycles, which represent the possible positions a number would occupy if the same permutation is applied repeatedly. For each draw, three statistics are collected based on the cycle decomposition:

The number of cycles.
The size of the smallest cycle.
The size of the largest cycle.

Each of these statistics generates a distribution of observations which are compared with their respective expected distributions. For example, if the following draw were observed as a reordering of the numbers from 1 to 6 ,

## $1,3,5,4,2,6$

the cyclic decomposition would be (1)(235)(4)(6). 1, 4, and 6 remain in their original positions, so they form their own cycles. The values 2,3 , and 5 are shuffled, so they form a single cycle together. The total number of cycles is 4 , the smallest cycle has size 1 , and the largest cycle has size 3 .

## APPENDIX B: Test Descriptions

## Runs:

The Wald-Wolfowitz Runs Test is applied to each position within the draw. A center is established, typically the data median, and the number of 'runs' above and below the center are tallied. Values exactly equal to the center are discarded. This is compared to the expected distribution, which depends on the number of values above and below the center. For example, if the numbers drawn at a particular position were

$$
2,3,1,5,4,7,3,2,3,2,3,2,6,7,3,5
$$

and the established center were the data median of 3 , the data would be parsed for runs above 3 and runs below 3 .

$$
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{w}}^{2,3,1} \mathrm{f}_{5,4,7,3^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{w}}^{2,3,2,3,2}{ }_{6,7,3,5}^{\mathrm{m}}
$$

This would be counted as 4 runs.

## Serial Correlation:

The Serial Correlation Test measures statistical correlation between consecutive draws of the same position. For each position, the sample Pearson correlation coefficient is calculated. If $X$ represents the first number, and $Y$ the number that follows, then the coefficient is

$$
r=\frac{\operatorname{cov}(X, Y)}{s_{X} S_{Y}}
$$

where $s$ denotes the sample standard deviation. The coefficients are used to generate a $p$-value for each position.

## Total Distribution:

The Total Distribution Test is a simple tally of all observed values throughout the data. This is compared with the expected distribution. Typically, the expected distribution is a uniform distribution. In the case of unequal weighting of values, an appropriate discrete distribution is used.

## Total Distribution by Position:

The Total Distribution by Position Test tallies the observed distribution of values for each position within the draw. Each of these distributions is then compared with the expected.

## Jurisdictional Requirements

GLI's evaluation to the Technical Standard was limited only to the requirements applicable to the \{RNG Random, version 1.0\}. In addition, the following sections of the applicable Technical Standard were excluded from the scope of work for this evaluation:

United Kingdom - Remote

| Technical Standard Section(s) | Reason for Exclusion |
| :--- | :---: |
| 7A - Where lotteries use the outcome of other events external to <br> the lottery, to determine the result of the lottery the outcome must <br> be unpredictable and externally verifiable. | Not a lottery |
| 7A.b - For lotteries using external events - where it is not practical <br> to demonstrate 7a. | Not a lottery |
| 7A.c - For games or virtual events that use the laws of physics to <br> generate the outcome of the game (mechanical RNGs), the <br> mechanical RNG used should be capable of meeting the <br> requirements in a. where applicable and in addition: | Not a mechanical RNG |
| 7A.d - Restricting adaptive behavior prohibits automatic or manual <br> interventions that change the probabilities of game outcomes <br> occurring during play. Restricting adaptive behavior is not intended <br> to prevent games from offering bonus or special features that <br> implement a different set of rules, if they are based on the <br> occurrence of random events. | RNG Evaluation only |

## Malta Online

| Technical Standard Section(s) | Reason for Exclusion |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| All, except requirements directly referring to Random Numbers <br> Generators. | RNG Evaluation only |  |
|  |  |  |

